

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMASSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 105 Alexantria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.ushot.kov

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/902,184		07/10/2001	Robert Craig Murphy	07099.1529	7537	
826	7590	04/05/2006		EXAMINER		
	V & BIRD		LY, ANH			
		CA PLAZA N STREET, SUITE 400	00	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000				2162		
				DATE MAILED: 04/05/2000	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/902,184	MURPHY ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	r <del>i</del>	Anh Ly	2162	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address	
WHI - Exte afte - If No - Fail Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some reply received by the Office later than three months after the replaced patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communicated  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	23 January 2006		
- /		This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for alle		itters, prosecution as to the merit	s is
-,—	closed in accordance with the practice und		•	5.0
Disposit	ion of Claims		,	
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the applica	ation.		
-,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
•	Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		
• ——	ion Papers			
_	-			
	The specification is objected to by the Exar		hutha Evanian	
الارادا	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		·	
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
יייי יי	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	٠
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for-	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in	Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the			
	application from the International Bu		•	
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.	
			•	
Attachmen	t(e)			
	τ(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892)	Λ. C	Sur (DTC 442)	
	e of References Cited (P10-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Linterview Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	J. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	ـــ عناقان ــــ	<del>_</del>	

Application/Control Number: 09/902,184 Page 2

Art Unit: 2162

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This Office Action is response to Applicants' AMENDMENT filed on 01/23/2006.
- 2. Claims 27-47 have been added.
- 3. Claims 1-47 are pending in this Application.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

4. The information disclosure statements filed 07/25/2002; Paper #8 has not been considered because Examiner did not receive PTO-1449, Applicant is hereby required to submit PTO-1449 in response to this Office Action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1, 7, 13 & 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In the line 10 of claim 1, "the same customer" is not clearly. It is referring to the first or the second customer. Also in the line 13 of claim 1, "assigning an identifier" is missing the person or object to be assigned that identifier (to whom). As the result, the claims 7 (lines 12 and 15), 13 (lines 10 and 13) and 19 (lines 14 and 18) are having the same above reasons.

Art Unit: 2162

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

7. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1, 7, 17, 19, 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because these claims are missing the step or process for "sharing customer information" as the preamble stated like that. That caused the claimed invention is not to produce a real world result or no "tangible result". <u>State Street</u>, 149 F.3d at 1373-74, 47 USPQ2d at 1601-02

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 2162

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

10. Claims 1-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pub. No.: 20030046309 A1 of McGrath et al. (hereinafter McGrath) in view of US Patent No. 6,363,388 issued to Sprenger et al. (hereinafter Sprenger).

With respect to claim 1, McGrath teaches a method for sharing customer information among a plurality of electronic facilities (a method for accessing the shared customer data storing at data storage devices 14a-n as in the fig. 1, paragraphs 0024 and 0029), comprising:

providing a mass data store comprising in a first data record identifying information for a customer having an associated first customer identifier (fig. 3, item 202, paragraph 0046);

receiving identifying information on the customer from an electronic storage facility containing information about the customer including a second customer identifier that is different from the first customer identifier (fig. 3, receiving data from a storage device as shown in fig. 3, item 202 and paragraphs 0032 and 0046);

storing the received identifying information in a second data record (storing the received customer data: fig. 1, paragraphs 0017, 0018, 0024 and 0029); and

assigning an identifier based on a result of the determination (assigning that customer identifier based on the result of comparing of the received each record: paragraph 0046).

Art Unit: 2162

McGrath teaches a plurality of data storage devices storing the customer data (fig. 1 and paragraph 0017), which is identifying information for a customer including customer ID or customer identifier from which it is used to identify a particular customer or entity associated with customer database; also customer detail is used to describe the customer identified by customer identifier (paragraph 0035). McGrath also teaches cross-reference data (fig. 5s). McGrath does not clearly teach determining that the identifying information in the first and the second data records are associated with the same customer; and cross-referencing the assigned identifier with identifying information stored in the first and second data records.

However, Sprenger teaches the same customer based on customer information (col. 21, lines 28-52) and using the cross-reference identifier of a customer as shown in the fig. 5 (col. 21, lines 45-52).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of McGrath with the teachings of Sprenger, wherein customer database consisting a plurality of records of customer information/data for enabling a user/operator or agent to access the data in the system provided therein (McGrath's figs. 1, 2 & 3), would incorporate the use of customer table storing customer identifier to be used as a cross-referenced identifier to access the right customer in the customer database, in the same conventional manner as described by Sprenger (col. 21, lines 45-52). The motivation being to be efficiently to access and share customer information over a system with multiple storage facilities.

Art Unit: 2162

With respect to claim 2, McGrath teaches retrieving identifying information from the master data store based on an identifier (fig. 3).

With respect to claim 3, McGrath teaches wherein identifying information includes a storage identifier to identify an electronic storage facility transmitting identifying information, a customer identifier for identifying customer information in the electronic storage facility (fig. 3, 4 & 5); and

customer data for matching a customer with existing customers in the master data store (paragraphs 0046-0048).

With respect to claim 4, McGrath teaches wherein customer data includes a customer's name and address (paragraph 0035).

With respect to claim 5, McGrath teaches wherein determining comprises: standardizing the received identifying information and comparing the standardized identifying information to existing data in the master data store (paragraphs 0039-0043)).

With respect to claim 6, McGrath teaches a method for sharing customer information as discussed in claim 1.

McGrath teaches a plurality of data storage devices storing the customer data (fig. 1 and paragraph 0017), which is identifying information for a customer including customer ID or customer identifier from which it is used to identify a particular customer or entity associated with customer database; also customer detail is used to describe the customer identified by customer identifier (paragraph 0035). McGrath also teaches cross-reference data (fig. 5s). McGrath does not clearly teach cross-referencing the

assigned identifier with the received identifying information and an indication of the electronic storage facility containing the customer information.

However, Sprenger teaches the same customer based on customer information (col. 21, lines 28-52) and using the cross-reference identifier of a customer as shown in the fig. 5 (col. 21, lines 45-52).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of McGrath with the teachings of Sprenger, wherein customer database consisting a plurality of records of customer information/data for enabling a user/operator or agent to access the data in the system provided therein (McGrath's figs. 1, 2 & 3), would incorporate the use of customer table storing customer identifier to be used as a cross-referenced identifier to access the right customer in the customer database, in the same conventional manner as described by Sprenger (col. 21, lines 45-52). The motivation being to be efficiently to access and share customer information over a system with multiple storage facilities.

Claim 7 is essentially the same as claim 1 except that it is directed to a computer for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 1 hereinabove.

Claim 8 is essentially the same as claim 2 except that it is directed to a computer for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 2 hereinabove.

Art Unit: 2162

Claim 9 is essentially the same as claim 3 except that it is directed to a computer for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 3 hereinabove.

Claim 10 is essentially the same as claim 4 except that it is directed to a computer for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 4 hereinabove.

Claim 11 is essentially the same as claim 5 except that it is directed to a computer for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 5 hereinabove.

Claim 12 is essentially the same as claim 6 except that it is directed to a computer for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 6 hereinabove.

Claim 13 is essentially the same as claim 1 except that it is directed to a system for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 1 hereinabove.

Claim 14 is essentially the same as claim 2 except that it is directed to a system for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 2 hereinabove.

Claim 15 is essentially the same as claim 3 except that it is directed to a system for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 3 hereinabove.

Art Unit: 2162

Claim 16 is essentially the same as claim 4 except that it is directed to a system for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 4 hereinabove.

Claim 17 is essentially the same as claim 5 except that it is directed to a system for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 5 hereinabove.

Claim 18 is essentially the same as claim 6 except that it is directed to a system for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 6 hereinabove.

With respect to claim 19, McGrath teaches a plurality of electronic storage facilities for storing customer information associated with and identifying a customer; a mass data storing comprising in a first data record identifying information for the customer having an associated first customer identifier; and an information system for receiving customer information from an electronic storage facility, said information system capable of : receiving identifying information on the customer from an electronic storage facility containing information about the customer including a second customer identifier that is different from the first customer identifier (a method for accessing the shared customer data storing at data storage devices 14a-n as in the fig. 1, paragraphs 0024 and 0029; fig. 3, item 202, paragraph 0046fig. 3, receiving data from a storage device as shown in fig. 3, item 202 and paragraphs 0032 and 0046); storing the received identifying information in a second data record (storing the received customer data: fig. 1, paragraphs 0017, 0018, 0024 and 0029); and assigning an identifier based

Art Unit: 2162

on a result of the determination (assigning that customer identifier based on the result of comparing of the received each record: paragraph 0046).

McGrath teaches a plurality of data storage devices storing the customer data (fig. 1 and paragraph 0017), which is identifying information for a customer including customer ID or customer identifier from which it is used to identify a particular customer or entity associated with customer database; also customer detail is used to describe the customer identified by customer identifier (paragraph 0035). McGrath also teaches cross-reference data (fig. 5s). McGrath does not clearly teach determining that the identifying information in the first and the second data records are associated with the same customer; and cross-referencing the assigned identifier with identifying information stored in the first and second data records.

However, Sprenger teaches the same customer based on customer information (col. 21, lines 28-52) and using the cross-reference identifier of a customer as shown in the fig. 5 (col. 21, lines 45-52).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of McGrath with the teachings of Sprenger, wherein customer database consisting a plurality of records of customer information/data for enabling a user/operator or agent to access the data in the system provided therein (McGrath's figs. 1, 2 & 3), would incorporate the use of customer table storing customer identifier to be used as a cross-referenced identifier to access the right customer in the customer database, in the same conventional manner

Art Unit: 2162

as described by Sprenger (col. 21, lines 45-52). The motivation being to be efficiently to access and share customer information over a system with multiple storage facilities.

With respect to claim 20, McGrath teaches wherein said information system is further configured to: retrieve customer information from the master data store based on the identifier (see fig. 3).

With respect to claim 21, McGrath teaches wherein the customer information includes a storage identifier to identify said respective electronic storage facility transmitting the travel-based information, a customer identifier for identifying customer information in said electronic storage facility; and customer data for matching a customer with existing customers in the master data store (figs. 3, 4 & 5 and paragraphs 0046-0048).

With respect to claim 22, McGrath teaches a system for sharing customer information as discussed in claim 19.

McGrath teaches a plurality of data storage devices storing the customer data (fig. 1 and paragraph 0017), which is identifying information for a customer including customer ID or customer identifier from which it is used to identify a particular customer or entity associated with customer database; also customer detail is used to describe the customer identified by customer identifier (paragraph 0035). McGrath also teaches cross-reference data (fig. 5s). McGrath does not clearly teach cross-referencing the assigned identifier with the received identifying information and an indication of the electronic storage facility containing the customer information.

However, Sprenger teaches the same customer based on customer information (col. 21, lines 28-52) and using the cross-reference identifier of a customer as shown in the fig. 5 (col. 21, lines 45-52).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of McGrath with the teachings of Sprenger, wherein customer database consisting a plurality of records of customer information/data for enabling a user/operator or agent to access the data in the system provided therein (McGrath's figs. 1, 2 & 3), would incorporate the use of customer table storing customer identifier to be used as a cross-referenced identifier to access the right customer in the customer database, in the same conventional manner as described by Sprenger (col. 21, lines 45-52). The motivation being to be efficiently to access and share customer information over a system with multiple storage facilities.

With respect to claim 23, McGrath teaches a plurality of electronic storage facilities for storing customer information associated with and identifying a customer, wherein each electronic storage facility uses a different identifier to identify the customer information; a mass data storing comprising for each customer a unique identifier identifying the customer and a list of the electronic facilities that contain information for the customer along with the identification for the customer used by each electronic storage facility; and an information system for receiving customer information from an electronic storage facility, said information system capable of : receiving identifying information on the customer from an electronic storage facility containing information about the customer including a second customer identifier that is different from the first

- - - -

Art Unit: 2162

customer identifier (a method for accessing the shared customer data storing at data storage devices 14a-n as in the fig. 1, paragraphs 0024 and 0029; fig. 3, item 202, paragraph 0046fig. 3, receiving data from a storage device as shown in fig. 3, item 202 and paragraphs 0032 and 0046; figs. 3, 4 & 5 and paragraphs 0046-0048); storing the received identifying information in a second data record (storing the received customer data: fig. 1, paragraphs 0017, 0018, 0024 and 0029); and assigning an identifier based on a result of the determination (assigning that customer identifier based on the result of comparing of the received each record: paragraph 0046).

McGrath teaches a plurality of data storage devices storing the customer data (fig. 1 and paragraph 0017), which is identifying information for a customer including customer ID or customer identifier from which it is used to identify a particular customer or entity associated with customer database; also customer detail is used to describe the customer identified by customer identifier (paragraph 0035). McGrath also teaches cross-reference data (fig. 5s). McGrath does not clearly teach determining that the identifying information in the first and the second data records are associated with the same customer; and cross-referencing the assigned identifier with identifying information stored in the first and second data records.

However, Sprenger teaches the same customer based on customer information (col. 21, lines 28-52) and using the cross-reference identifier of a customer as shown in the fig. 5 (col. 21, lines 45-52).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of McGrath with the

teachings of Sprenger, wherein customer database consisting a plurality of records of customer information/data for enabling a user/operator or agent to access the data in the system provided therein (McGrath's figs. 1, 2 & 3), would incorporate the use of customer table storing customer identifier to be used as a cross-referenced identifier to access the right customer in the customer database, in the same conventional manner as described by Sprenger (col. 21, lines 45-52). The motivation being to be efficiently to access and share customer information over a system with multiple storage facilities.

With respect to claim 24, McGrath teaches wherein when said information system receives an inquiry for information associated with a customer, said information system provides information indicating which electronic storage facilities contain information related to the customer and the identification used by each electronic facility to identify the customer's information (figs. 3, 4 & 5 and paragraphs 0046-0048).

With respect to claim 25, McGrath teaches a method for sharing customer information among a plurality of electronic storage facilities (a method for accessing the shared customer data storing at data storage devices 14a-n as in the fig. 1, paragraphs 0024 and 0029), comprising:

providing a plurality of electronic storage facilities, wherein each storage facility contains information concerning a customer and uses a different identifier to identify the customer information from that of the other electronic storage facilities and storing in a master data store for each customer a unique identifier identifying the customer and a list of the electronic facilities that contain information for the customer along with the identification for the customer used by each electronic storage facility; and an

Art Unit: 2162

information system for receiving customer information from an electronic storage facility, said information system capable of : receiving identifying information on the customer from an electronic storage facility containing information about the customer including a second customer identifier that is different from the first customer identifier (a method for accessing the shared customer data storing at data storage devices 14a-n as in the fig. 1, paragraphs 0024 and 0029; fig. 3, item 202, paragraph 0046fig. 3, receiving data from a storage device as shown in fig. 3, item 202 and paragraphs 0032 and 0046; figs. 3, 4 & 5 and paragraphs 0046-0048); storing the received identifying information in a second data record (storing the received customer data: fig. 1, paragraphs 0017, 0018, 0024 and 0029); and assigning an identifier based on a result of the determination (assigning that customer identifier based on the result of comparing of the received each record: paragraph 0046).

McGrath teaches a plurality of data storage devices storing the customer data (fig. 1 and paragraph 0017), which is identifying information for a customer including customer ID or customer identifier from which it is used to identify a particular customer or entity associated with customer database; also customer detail is used to describe the customer identified by customer identifier (paragraph 0035). McGrath also teaches cross-reference data (figs. 5s). McGrath does not clearly teach determining that the identifying information in the first and the second data records are associated with the same customer; and cross-referencing the assigned identifier with identifying information stored in the first and second data records.

Art Unit: 2162

However, Sprenger teaches the same customer based on customer information (col. 21, lines 28-52) and using the cross-reference identifier of a customer as shown in the fig. 5 (col. 21, lines 45-52).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of McGrath with the teachings of Sprenger, wherein customer database consisting a plurality of records of customer information/data for enabling a user/operator or agent to access the data in the system provided therein (McGrath's figs. 1, 2 & 3), would incorporate the use of customer table storing customer identifier to be used as a cross-referenced identifier to access the right customer in the customer database, in the same conventional manner as described by Sprenger (col. 21, lines 45-52). The motivation being to be efficiently to access and share customer information over a system with multiple storage facilities.

With respect to claim 26, McGrath teaches receiving an inquiry for information associated with a customer; accessing the master data store; and providing information indicating which electronic storage facilities contain information related to the customer and the identification used by each electronic facility to identify the customer's information (see figs. 1, 2 & 3 and 5s and paragraphs 0046-0048).

With respect to claim 27, McGrath teaches wherein the first and second data records comprise identifying information identifying the customer and an indication of the electronic storage facility containing the customer information (paragraphs 0021-0025)

Art Unit: 2162

With respect to claims 28-29, McGrath teaches a method as discussed in claim 1.

McGrath teaches a plurality of data storage devices storing the customer data (fig. 1 and paragraph 0017), which is identifying information for a customer including customer ID or customer identifier from which it is used to identify a particular customer or entity associated with customer database; also customer detail is used to describe the customer identified by customer identifier (paragraph 0035). McGrath also teaches cross-reference data (fig. 5s). McGrath does not clearly teach wherein said cross-referencing comprises cross-referencing the assigned identifier with the identifying information identifying the customer and an indication of the electronic storage facility containing the customer information for the first and second data records; and wherein said determining step comprises comparing the identifying information in the first and second data records to thereby determine whether the identifying information is for the same customer.

However, Sprenger teaches the same customer based on customer information (col. 21, lines 28-52) and using the cross-reference identifier of a customer as shown in the fig. 5 (col. 21, lines 45-52).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of McGrath with the teachings of Sprenger, wherein customer database consisting a plurality of records of customer information/data for enabling a user/operator or agent to access the data in the system provided therein (McGrath's figs. 1, 2 & 3), would incorporate the use of

Art Unit: 2162

customer table storing customer identifier to be used as a cross-referenced identifier to access the right customer in the customer database, in the same conventional manner as described by Sprenger (col. 21, lines 45-52). The motivation being to be efficiently to access and share customer information over a system with multiple storage facilities.

With respect to claim 30, McGrath teaches wherein identifying information includes a storage identifier to identify an electronic storage facility comprising the customer information and a customer identifier for identifying customer information in the electronic storage facility (paragraphs: 0027 and 0030).

With respect to claim 31, McGrath teaches wherein said determining step comprises comparing the customer data in the first and second data records to thereby determine whether the identifying information is for the same customer (paragraphs 0036 and 0039).

With respect to claim 32, McGrath teaches wherein said providing step provides a mass data store comprising a plurality of data records, wherein some of the data records are for the same customer but stored in different electronic storage facilities, where the electronic storage facilities use the same customer identifier (paragraphs 0024, 0029 and 0039).

With respect to claim 33, McGrath teaches wherein the customer information is travel-related information (paragraph 0030).

Claim 34 is essentially the same as claim 27 except that it is directed to a computer for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 27 hereinabove.

Art Unit: 2162

Claim 35 is essentially the same as claim 28 except that it is directed to a computer for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 28 hereinabove.

Claim 36 is essentially the same as claim 29 except that it is directed to a computer for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 29 hereinabove.

Claim 37 is essentially the same as claim 30 except that it is directed to a computer for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 30 hereinabove.

Claim 38 is essentially the same as claim 31 except that it is directed to a computer for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 31 hereinabove.

Claim 39 is essentially the same as claim 32 except that it is directed to a computer for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 32 hereinabove.

Claim 40 is essentially the same as claim 33 except that it is directed to a computer for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 33 hereinabove.

Claim 41 is essentially the same as claim 27 except that it is directed to a system for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 27 hereinabove.

Art Unit: 2162

Claim 42 is essentially the same as claim 28 except that it is directed to a system for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 28 hereinabove.

Claim 43 is essentially the same as claim 29 except that it is directed to a system for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 29 hereinabove.

Claim 44 is essentially the same as claim 30 except that it is directed to a system for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 30 hereinabove.

Claim 45 is essentially the same as claim 31 except that it is directed to a system for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 31 hereinabove.

Claim 46 is essentially the same as claim 32 except that it is directed to a system for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 32 hereinabove.

Claim 47 is essentially the same as claim 33 except that it is directed to a system for sharing customer information rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 33 hereinabove.

Application/Control Number: 09/902,184 Page 21

Art Unit: 2162

## Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2162

#### **Contact Information**

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh Ly whose telephone number is (571) 272-4039 or via E-Mail: ANH.LY@USPTO.GOV or fax to (571) 273-4039. The examiner can normally be reached on TUESDAY – THURSDAY from 8:30 AM – 3:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene, can be reached on (571) 272-4107 or Primary Examiner Jean Corrielus (571) 272-4032.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Any response to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, or faxed to:

Central Fax Center (571) 273-8300

JEAN JI. CORRIELUS PRIVARY EXAMINER

ANH LY / 2006